

Applicant: Brown

Serial No.: 10/016,310

Response to Office Action

Applicant further submits that in light of the amendment to independent claim 10, the dependent claims 11-14 and 16 are thereby allowable, too. Applicant respectfully requests that Examiner remove the 35 U.S.C 102(e) rejection of Claims 10-14, and 16 and allow these claims to issue. Applicant also requests that Examiner allow the new claims 17 - 22 to issue.

Response to Examiner's 35 U.S.C 103 (a) Rejections:

Examiner has rejected Claim 15 under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being anticipated by Tacklind et al. (5,704,366) in view of Evers et al. (5,558,638). Independent Claim 10 from which Claim 15 depends has been amended to further define Applicant's invention to persuade a patient to take affirmative action rather than simply provide numerical data. Applicant respectfully submits that neither Tacklind nor Evers teach the method of persuasion as claimed in amended independent Claim 10 and dependent 15 of Applicant's application. Therefore Applicant respectfully requests that Examiner remove the 35 U.S.C 103 (a) rejection of dependent Claim 15 and allow the claim to issue.

Conclusion:

For at least the above reasons, Applicant requests favorable reconsideration of the pending claims and favorable consideration of the newly amended claims. Applicant also respectfully requests prompt allowance of these claims.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



Gene Scott, Reg. No. 37,930

Tel.: (714) 668-1900